CARA M. TANGARO (9197) Tangaro Law, P.C. 35 West Broadway, Suite 203 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 673-9984 cara@tangarolaw.com

Attorney for Rachel Ann Kingston

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JACOB O. KINGSTON, et al.,

Defendants.

OBJECTION TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S DECEMBER 7, 2018 ORDER (ECF NO. 100) AND MOTION FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS

Case No. 2:18-cr-00365-JNP Honorable Jill N. Parrish

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), Rachel Ann Kingston ("Ms. Kingston") hereby objects to the Protective Order entered by Magistrate Judge Brooke Wells on December 7, 2018 (Dkt. 100), pursuant to the government's Motion for Protective Order (Dkt. 99), and requests the Court's *de novo* review. Ms. Kingston also moves the Court for an order requiring the government to provide her with a bill of particulars so she can prepare a defense and avoid prejudicial surprise at trial.

In support of her objection to the Magistrate's order and her Motion for a Bill of Particulars, Ms. Kingston hereby incorporates by reference, to the extent applicable, the recitation of facts as well as the arguments set forth in Defendant Sally Kingston's memorandum ("Sally Kingston's Motion") filed on February 21, 2019 (Dkt. 194).

I. BACKGROUND

Ms. Kingston hereby incorporates by reference the recitation of factual events as laid out in Sally Kingston's Memo as applicable to the case as a whole.

The government first indicted Ms. Kingston in the Second Superseding Indictment (the "Indictment") filed on January 17, 2019. The government unsealed the Indictment and arraigned Ms. Kingston on January 22. The government charged Ms. Kingston with conspiracy (Counts 1, 23), money laundering (Counts 40-41), and destroying records (Count 45). The Indictment alleges that Ms. Kingston "was a resident of Utah, the mother of Defendants Jacob Kingston and Isaiah Kingston, and the "Special Projects Manager" at Washakie." (Indictment ¶ 5.) The Indictment also alleges that Ms. Kingston is a member of the Davis Cooperative Society. (Indictment ¶ 11.) Those two paragraphs comprise the sum total of the government's specific allegations regarding Ms. Kingston.

II. ARGUMENT

Ms. Kingston hereby incorporates by reference the arguments set forth in Sally Kingston's Memo regarding both the Objection to the Magistrate's previous order, entered before Ms. Kingston was indicted, as well as the Motion for a Bill of Particulars.

As detailed in Sally Kingston's Memo, Ms. Kingston cannot adequately prepare for trial if the Jencks material is only produced three weeks prior to trial, especially in light of the additional limitation that defendants not be notified of key witnesses against them until three days before trial. The Court should revise the Protective Order.

Additionally, the Court should order the government to produce a bill of particulars to adequately apprise Ms. Kingston of the charges against her. As detailed in Sally Kingston's Memo, the government's style of group-pleading throughout the Indictment prohibits Ms. Kingston from fully evaluating and defending herself from the charges against her.

In the short time Ms. Kingston has been involved in this case, one thing is clear: the government is seeking to obfuscate the charges in order to prevent the defendants from adequately preparing for trial. In the government's Motion for Protective Order, it wrote:

the government has informed defense counsel that, while it reserves its right to produce some Jencks Act type material consistent with the statutory provision, it is willing to provide the bulk of the Jencks Act material two to three weeks before whatever is the final and certain trial date. More specifically, even with an early production of the bulk of the

Jencks Act type material, the government reserves the right not to provide the most sensitive of the Jencks Act type materials prematurely due to the obvious safety concerns it has for many of the United States' witnesses. While in some cases, an ex parte in camera showing to the Court may be made by the government to support such concerns, the government submits that sufficient evidence was introduced during the detention hearings to support such safety concerns.

(Dkt. 99 at 2-3.) The government has maintained this position while also advocating for detention of certain defendants to specifically address those same alleged safety concerns. Yet the government has been unable to articulate any present safety concerns that are posed against any witnesses. The government is claiming a nonexistent right to have its cake and eat it too.

The government continues to assert this position in its recent filing setting forth its position on the length of trial and trial calendar. (Dkt. 198.) It maintains it will produce the "Bulk" of the Jencks Act materials three weeks before trial. (Dkt. 198 at 3.) Yet the government has never explained to this Court or Judge Wells what "bulk" actually means and when it plans to produce the *entirety* of the material.

III. CONCLUSION

In addition to incorporating by reference the relief sought in Sally Kingston's Motion, Ms. Kingston respectfully asks this Court to order the government to explain (1) how much Jencks Act material is in its possession; (2) how much of that material it will produce three weeks before trial, and (3) when defense counsel will actually receive the remainder of the material.

DATED: February 25, 2019

/s/ Cara Tangaro

CARA M. TANGARO

Attorney for Defendant Rachel Ann Kingston

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 25, 2019, the foregoing **OBJECTION TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S DECEMBER 7, 2018 ORDER (ECF NO. 100) AND MOTION FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS** was served on the person(s) named below via the Court's electronic filing system:

Adam S. Elggren U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 111 Main Street, #1800 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Adam.elggren@usdoj.gov

Arthur J. Ewenczyk Leslie A. Goemaat U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TAX DIVISION CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT 601 D. Street NW 7th Floor Washington, CD 20004

Richard M. Rolwing U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE – TAX DIVISON 303 Marconi Blvd., Suite 200 Columbus, OH 43215

Attorneys for the United States of America

Marc A. Angifilo Teny R. Geragos BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES 767 Third Avenue, Floor 26 New York, NY 10017 magnifilo@braflaw.com tgeragos@braflaw.com

Walter F. Bugden
Tara L. Isaacscon
BUGDEN & ISAACSON, LLC
445 East 200 South, Suite 150
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
wally@bilaw.net
tara@bilaw.net

Attorneys for Jacob Kingston

Mark J. Geragos Linda Moreno GERAGOS & GERAGOS APC 644 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 mark@geragos.com geragos@geragos.com

Jon D. Williams JON D. WILLIAMS, PC 9 Exchange Place, Suite 600 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 jwilliam@lawyer.com

Attorneys for Lev Dermen

Scott C. Williams SCOTT C. WILLIAMS, LLC 43 East 400 South Salt Lake City, UT 84111 scwlegal@gmail.com

Attorney for Isaiah Kingston

D. Loren Washburn
Jacob L. Fonnesbeck
SMITH WASHBURN, LLP
8 East Broadway, Suite 320
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
lwashburn@smithwashburn.com
jfonnesbeck@smithwashburn.com

Attorneys for Sally L. Kingston

Samuel Alba SNOW CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU 10 Exchange Place, 11th Floor P.O. Box 45000 Salt Lake City, UT 84145 sa@scmlaw.com

Attorneys for Intervenors

/s/ Jayme Mackay